



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/668,734	09/23/2003	John J. Toben	6006-141-1	2477	
7590 09/26/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Nicholas J. Tuccillo, Esq. McCormick, Paulding & Huber LLP CityPlace II			GARCIA, I	GARCIA, ERNESTO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
185 Asylum Str			3679		
Hartford, CT	06103	•	DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/668,734	TOBEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ernesto Garcia	3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING II  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tte, cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. It is timely filed  Tom the mailing date of this communication.  The property of the communication of the communication.  The property of the communication of the communication of the communication.			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
	0 / / 0000 /00 /				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>		<u>2006</u> .			
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-16,20 and 21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-16 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached Off	ice Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic ority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗖 Intonious Summ	an (PTO-413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5)  Notice of Inform 6)  Other:	I Date			

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 9, 2006 has been entered.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# **Drawings**

The drawings were received on August 9, 2006. These drawings are acceptable.

# Specification

The disclosure is objected to because the second occurrence of reference character "206" on page 9, line 1, should be --220--. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3679

Applicants remarked that the specification was amended to reflect this objection;

however, no such change has been provided.

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "a first fold which bent at a break point" recited in claim 12, line 4-5 lacks proper antecedent basis. Applicants argue that paragraph 031, lines 4-6 indicates "the break point 114 of the first fold 110" and thus provides the antecedent basis required. In response, applicants should note that this description is inaccurate because the break point 114 is of the second fold 112. Note that Figure 3 shows the break point 114 at the bottom of the second fold 112 and not of the first fold 110. According to Figure 3, there appears to be two break points one for each fold.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 12, there is an inconsistency between the language in the preamble and a certain portion in the body of the claim, thereby making the scope of the claims unclear. The preamble clearly indicated that the seam is "for use in ductwork".

Art Unit: 3679

seam alone.

However, the body of the claim positively recites "the ductwork", e.g., "said seam having a male end portion integrally formed with <u>said ductwork</u>" (lines 1-2), "a female end portion integrally formed with <u>said ductwork</u>" (line 3), and "a first fold which is bent at a break point of a wall of <u>said ductwork</u>" (lines 4-5), which indicates that the claims are being drawn to a combination of the "seam" and the "ductwork". Accordingly, is the combination or subcombination being claimed? Appropriate correction, clarification, or both is required. For purposes of this Office action, the examiner has considered the

Regarding claim 16, the recitation "the sealing angle" in line 2 lacks proper antecedent basis.

Regarding claims 13-15, these claims depend from claim 12 and therefore are indefinite.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Huffel, 2,086,143 (see marked-up attachment provided in the last Office action).

Regarding claim 12, as best understood, lizuka discloses, in Figure 1a, 1b, and 2, a seam comprising a male end portion **1A** and a female end portion **2**. The female

Art Unit: 3679

end portion 2 includes a first fold A1 (see marked-up attachment), a second fold A2, a

third fold A3, and a fourth fold A4. The first fold A1 is bent at a break point A5 of a wall

2B. The second fold A2 is bent back upon the first fold A1 and extends substantially

adjacent to the break point A5. The third fold A3 begins substantially adjacent to the

break point A5 and extends substantially parallel to the wall 2B. The fourth fold A4 is

bent back against the third fold A3.

Regarding claim 13, the seam further comprises a sealing fold 2a formed at a

distal end of the fourth fold A4. The sealing fold 2a is bent at a sealing angle to the

fourth fold A4. Note that the recitation "prior to said male portion being inserted into

said female groove" in lines 2-3 is irrelevant since the requirement is that the sealing

fold be bent at a sealing angle.

Regarding claim 14, note that the first fold A1 and the second fold A2 form a

hemmed angle between 10 to 60 degrees.

Regarding claim 15, the hemmed angle is approximately 30 degrees.

Regarding claim 16, a sealing angle (the angle between the third fold and the

fourth fold) is between 45 to 60 degrees.

Art Unit: 3679

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 20 and 21 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter:

regarding claim 20, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a method

for forming a seam comprising bending a third fold beginning substantially adjacent to a

break point and extending substantially parallel to a duct wall; the European patent, EP-

71,586, teaches the third fold extending substantially coaxial to the duct wall. There is

no motivation, absent applicant's own disclosure, to extend the third fold substantially

parallel to the duct wall; and,

regarding claim 21, this claim depends from claim 20.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-16 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. In particular, note the 112(2<sup>nd</sup>) rejection.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3679

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 571-282-7083. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30-5:30. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached at 571-272-7087.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E.G.

September 19, 2006

Attachment: one marked-up page of lizuka, 6,056,021

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

miel P Stodola

Art Unit: 3679

lizuka, 6,056,021

Fig.1

